

Applicants: Fritz et al.  
Serial No.: 10/619,644  
Filing Date: July 14, 2003  
Docket No.: ZIL-553

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Before entry of this amendment, claims 1-36 were pending. In the Office Action, claims 1-21 and 23-34 were rejected and claims 22, 35, and 36 were objected-to. In the present amendment, claims 1, 11, 20, and 25 are amended, claims 22 and 36 are cancelled without prejudice, and claim 37 is added. After entry of the amendment, claims 1-21, 23-35, and 37 are pending.

I. Interview Summary Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.133(b)

On June 5, 2007, Examiner Lohn and Applicants' attorney discussed amendment of the independent claims to include the language of claim 36 (hereafter "Interview"). The Examiner indicated that the independent claims would likely be allowable, but allowance of the independent claims would depend on an additional search and consideration of results of the additional search.

II. Claims 1-21 and 23-34

Claims 11, 15, 20, 21, 25, 26, and 32-34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Rajsuman et al. (USP 6,651,204) (Office Action, p. 2, lines 16-17). Claims 1-3, 5-8, 12, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24, 28, 29, and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajsuman in view of Tegethoff et al. (USP 5,937,154) (Office Action, p. 5, lines 20-22). Claims 4 and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajsuman in view of Tegethoff and further in view of "The Zen of Diagnostics", Published in Embedded Systems Programming, June 1990 (Office Action, p. 12, lines 5-7). Claims 9, 10, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajsuman in view of Tegethoff in further in view of Cromer et al. (USP 6,263,373) (Office Action, p. 14, lines 1-3). Claims 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajsuman in view of Cromer. (Office Action, p. 17, lines 12-14).

Applicants: Fritz et al.  
Serial No.: 10/619,644  
Filing Date: July 14, 2003  
Docket No.: ZIL-553

Base claims 1, 11, 20, and 25 are amended to recite "script comprising a non-compiled string of text characters". In view of the Interview, base claims 1, 11, 20, and 25 are likely allowable over the cited prior art. Claims 2-10, 12-19, 21, 23, 24, and 26-34 depend directly or indirectly from any of base claims 1, 11, 20, and 25 and thus are allowable for at least the same reasons as pertain to base claims 1, 11, 20, and 25.

III. New Claim 37

Applicants add new claim 37, which is supported by the specification and allowable over the cited references. No new matter is added.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the entire application (claims 1-21, 23-35 and 37 are pending) is in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner would like to discuss any aspect of this application, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at (925) 550-5067.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By

  
Darien K. Wallace

Date of Deposit: June 8, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Darien K. Wallace  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 53,736  
Customer No. 47,713